Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/567,392	VAN HORCK, HENDRIKUS G.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Trang U. Tran	2622
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Amendment after non-final</u>		
(1) <u>Trang U. Tran</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Edward Goodman</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 7 December 2009	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 18-19		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In claim 18, line 1, the phrase "A program storage device tangibly embodying" has been changed to --A non-transitory program storage device embodying--.

In claim 19, line 1, the phrase "A program storage device tangibly embodying" has been changed to --A non-transitory program storage device embodying--, to place this application in condition for allowance..